

TO: Mr. Roberto Fonseca-Martinez
FROM: Robert E. Pickett
DATE: 2/2/06

Environmental Document Re-evaluation at PS&E for FHWA Projects

Document approval Date: 10/26/05
Document Type: Categorical Exclusion
FHWA Contact: John Simkins
Route: 0609
Project Number: 0609-057-140, P106, R201, M501, B601
From: Approaches and bridge over Garden Creek Irrigation Canal
To: 1.0 mile east of Route 610
Federal Project: BROS-057-6(011)
County/City: Mathews County
PPMS ID #: 18443

1. Is the current project design and/or scope within the study area evaluated in the most recently approved environmental document?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Comments: The current scope within the study area is evaluated in the Categorical Exclusion.

2. Is the design and/or scope in the environmental document consistent with the project proposed for advertisement/authorization?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Comments: Proposed design is currently not complete. The Design-Build consultant will provide notice to the Fredericksburg District Environmental Manager at design completion to allow time for review and revision to this EQ-200.

3. Is the proposed design and/or scope consistent with any commitments made in the environmental document?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Comments: The current scope is consistent with commitments made in the environmental document. The Design-Build consultant will provide notice to the Fredericksburg District Environmental Manager at design completion to allow time for review and revision of this EQ-200.

4. Project limits in environmental document:

From: Approaches and bridge over Garden Creek Irrigation Canal

To: 1.0 mile east of Route 610

Project limits proposed for advertisement/authorization: From: Not Available To:

Are the project limits consistent?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Comments: The Design-Build consultant will provide notice to the Fredericksburg District Environmental Manager at design completion to allow time for review and revision of this EQ-200.

5. Have there been any project design or scope changes outside the original project extent or limits that were added or removed from the project?

Yes ☐ None ☐

Description: The Design-Build consultant will provide notice to the Fredericksburg District Environmental Manager at design completion to allow time for review and revision of this EQ-200.

6. Was a noise analysis required for the environmental document?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, is the design year utilized in the noise analysis for the environmental document consistent with the design year on the title sheet of the plan assembly?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, is the design year traffic on the final roadway plans title sheet consistent with the design year traffic provided during the NEPA analysis?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Comments:

Reviewers

Location and Design/Construction: Gale M. Dickerson, P.E.

Environmental: Stephen P. Crowther

Other:

The Virginia Department of Transportation has reevaluated the approved environmental document in conjunction with the proposed project limits and found the NEPA document or CE designation remains valid. The intent of this reevaluation was to assess any changes to the scope of the project, rather than consider specific design elements. This re-evaluation satisfies the requirements in 23 CFR 771.129(c).

FHWA Division Administrator

Date

CC: Scheduling and Contract Development Division
Location and Design Project Manager
Central Office District Environmental Programs Manager
Assistant District Administrator for PE
Assistant District Administrator for Construction
Resident Engineer (when appropriate)
FHWA Environmental Contact